

1 Cher Swan Scarlett
PO BOX 1679 #5955
2 Sacramento, CA 95812
hello@cher.dev
3
4

FILED

FEB 26 2025

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTH DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
6 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
7
8

9 ASHLEY GJOVIK, *an individual*,
10)

Case No.: **3:23-CV-04597-EMC**

11 Plaintiff,
12)

13 v.
14)

DECLARATION OF CHER S. SCARLETT

15 APPLE INC, *a corporation*,
16)

17 Defendant.
18)
19)
20)
21)
22)
23)
24)
25)
26)
27)
28)

1 **I. DECLARATION OF CHER S. SCARLETT**

2
3 **Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I, Cher S. Scarlett, hereby declare as follows:**

4
5 1. My name is Cher Swan Scarlett. I am referred to as “Joanna Appleseed”, “JA”,
6 “Appleseed”, and “Applegate” in various pleadings in the above captioned matter. I make this
7 declaration based on my personal knowledge and could and would testify completely thereto.
8 I make this declaration on my own volition and without solicitation by any person(s).

9
10 2. I have never perjured myself in any of my prior declarations before this court,
11 nor will this one contain any false statements. As previously described, I encountered the
12 Plaintiff while we both were employed by the Defendant in a work application called Slack
13 while we were both advocating for permanent remote work on or around in the latter half of
14 June 2021, though we did not speak to each other until on or around July 16, 2021. Our
15 interactions were semi-regular on the text chat software iMessage, we also spoke a few times
16 on the video chat software FaceTime, but mainly our interactions were mutual support on the
17 social media platform Twitter, now called X. This only lasted until the last half of August 2021.
18 I mentioned I never met the Plaintiff *in person* to make clear the nature of our relationship; it
19 was brief and shallow, and broke down, in part, because the Plaintiff begun spreading a
20 conspiracy theory that I was coordinating with journalists to keep her story out of the press.
21 This included going so far as to circulate a fabricated conversation on the messaging service
22 Signal between herself and Tekendra Parmar, who was at the time an editor of the periodical
23 *Business Insider*, as proof of this conspiracy. The Plaintiff blocked me after I was profiled in
24 the *Washington Post* on October 15, 2021. The Plaintiff and I hardly know each other; we
25 certainly never had any kind of intimate relationship.
26
27
28

1 3. The Plaintiff has never sent me nude photographs, nor have I ever solicited any
2 such photographs from the Plaintiff. Such photographs would have been unwanted and
3 unwelcome and would have rightly been reported to Human Resources as sexual harassment.
4 Rather, on or around August 20, 2021, the Plaintiff sent me unsolicited screenshots of an
5 internal application "Alpha" she informed me she sent to a journalist for an article about
6 employee privacy. None of the images contained any nudity from what I could see, though she
7 did say she had censored one of them. I did not open it, but if I had, and it contained nudity, as
8 I said, I would have reported her to Human Resources for sexual harassment. I did not quite
9 understand what I was looking at or what she was upset about, as is evident from the
10 conversation. The article in reference was published on August 30, 2021, and I shared it. I was
11 not a source for the article, nor did I attempt to contribute to it.
12

13
14 4. On or around September 2, 2021, my attorney contacted me after Apple's internal
15 counsel reached out to inquire if I would speak with them alone about a "sensitive intellectual
16 property matter." In the days and weeks prior, I had been accused of leaking confidential
17 information by coworkers, which I thought at the time was related to a wage survey I had
18 started the month before. My attorney advised me not to speak with Apple without an attorney
19 present and I did not hear about it again. On or around September 9, 2021, the Plaintiff was
20 terminated for leaking confidential information. Because the Plaintiff had been posting internal
21 documents and such for many weeks on social media, this did not come as a surprise. However,
22 I was surprised to see the Plaintiff denying such a thing had occurred. After a mutual friend
23 told me she was planning to hand over her device to Apple at the advice of her attorney, I
24 realized that I had been subject to investigation for the leak of Alpha, and that's what she had
25 been terminated for leaking. I then looked at what the Plaintiff had sent me with more scrutiny.
26
27
28

1 Within those messages I found screenshots of *another* internal application which described in
2 detail the business purpose and other clearly trade secret type material regarding Alpha.

3
4 5. On September 15, 2021, I filed a Business Conduct report explaining that I had
5 not been involved in the leak, or the article, that I had been under the impression that the
6 Plaintiff was going to take ownership as the source of the material, that I hadn't really inspected
7 what she sent me, and that I hadn't realized at the time it was a Business Conduct violation.
8 No one told me to report the Plaintiff, nor could anyone have. Only the Plaintiff and I knew
9 about these conversations. I reported the Plaintiff, and included the screenshots, because I felt
10 it was the ethical thing to do. I referred to myself as a "witness" after learning these screenshots
11 were used in the Defendant's replies to the Plaintiff's claims-the Plaintiff already knows I used
12 this term incorrectly, as the screenshots are evidence.

13
14 6. I am not, nor have I ever been, in cahoots with the Defendant. I was not involved
15 in any employment decisions about any employee, let alone the Plaintiff, and had no
16 knowledge, nor could I have, other than what she told me herself. As I said in previous
17 declarations, I was a software engineer in a different department far removed from the Plaintiff,
18 and I worked on security tools. I have never been contacted by any of the defendant's attorneys
19 about the Plaintiff. I have never been contacted by OSHA or the Department of Labor about
20 the Plaintiff. I have never given testimony, been deposed, or been interviewed about the
21 Plaintiff regarding her employment with Apple by anyone, let alone the attorneys representing
22 Apple in this case or any government entity. I have never filed a complaint against Apple that
23 referenced or mentioned the Plaintiff. None of my colleagues or any other Apple employees
24 threatened me about anything regarding the Plaintiff, nor have I ever said such a thing.
25

26
27 7. On January 31, 2022, I petitioned for an anti-harassment order against Ms.
28 Gjovik in King County, Washington, where I resided at the time. I did not consult Apple, it

1 was not for Apple, and the matter had nothing to do with Apple. I petitioned for her to be
2 restrained because she would not stop harassing me and my family and I had already begged
3 and bargained with her to stop. The legal purpose it served is exactly what was achieved: Ms.
4 Gjovik was restrained from further harassing me and my family for a period of 5 years when
5 the order was granted by Judge O'Toole on March 1, 2022. Several months later, it was
6 reversed on appeal. The Appellate court held the opinion that the order violated Ms. Gjovik's
7 constitutional right to free speech. The court assured me I was free to re-petition the court for
8 an order that did not violate the constitution and that the Findings of Fact held. Despite her
9 repeated claims that the restraining order was without merit, the record of that proceeding
10 makes it abundantly clear that it was necessary by any reasonable person's standards. Again,
11 this had nothing to do with Apple, other than the fact that Ms. Gjovik and I both worked there,
12 and Ms. Gjovik was publishing documents she claimed to be unfair labor practices of Apple
13 that contained hundreds of references to me and my family, the vast majority of which were
14 defamation and harassment, and none of which had anything to do with Apple or Ms. Gjovik.
15
16

17 8. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the
18 foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and that this declaration was executed
19 on FEBRUARY 21, 2025.
20
21
22
23

24 **EXECUTED ON:** February 21, 2025
25

26 
27 s/ CHER S. SCARLETT
28



**PRIORITY
MAIL
EXPRESS®**



FLAT RATE ENVELOPE
ONE RATE ■ ANY WEIGHT

To schedule free Package Pickup,
scan the QR code.



USPS.COM/PICKUP

VISIT US AT USPS.COM®
ORDER FREE SUPPLIES ONLINE



EP13F May 2020
OD: 12 1/2 x 9 1/2

GUARANTEED* ■ TRACKED ■ INSURED

PRESS FIRMLY TO SEAL



PRIORITY MAIL EXPRESS®

FLAT RATE ENVELOPE
ONE RATE ■ ANY WEIGHT

To schedule free Package Pickup, scan the QR code.



USPS.COM/PICKUP



PS10001000006

EP13F May 2020
OD: 12 1/2 x 9 1/2

FOR

E

US POSTAGE IMI 836750224120104 2000394010

SSK

PME



02/24/25 Mailed from 92628 028W2311538

PRIORITY MAIL EXPRESS®

CHER SCARLETT

PO BOX 1679 #555

SACRAMENTO CA 95812-1679

2.20 oz

RDC 07

WAIVER OF SIGNATURE REQUESTED

SCHEDULED DELIVERY DAY: 02/25/25 06:00 PM

C004

SHIP TO:

COURT CLERK

US DC NDC - EMC

450 GOLDEN GATE AVE

SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3661



USPS TRACKING® NUMBER



9570 1065 7006 5055 3455 51



USE

RECEIVED

FEB 26 2025

CLERK

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ional

QUIRED.



UNITED STATES
POSTAL SERVICE®